IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE K.M.JOSEPH

MONDAY, THE 5TH FEBRUARY 2007 / 16TH MAGHA 1928

WP(C).No. 3913 of 2007(N)

PETITIONER:

T.K.SREEJITH,
L.G.HINDI TEACHER, T.K.M.V.U.P.SCHOOL,
PANNIVIZHA.

BY ADV. SMT.S.KARTHIKA
SRI.M.S.UNNIKRISHNAN
SRI.M.R.ANISON
SRI.T.R.RAJESH

RESPONDENTS:

---

1. THE DEPUTY DIRECTOR OF EDUCATION,
THIRUVALLA, PATHANAMTHITTA DISTRICT.

2. THE ASSISTANT EDUCATIONAL OFFICER,
ADOOR.

3. THE MANAGER,
T.K.M.V.U.P.SCHOOL,
PANNIVIZHA.

BY GOVT. PLEADER SRI. A.J.VARGHESE.

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON 05/02/2007, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

K.M.JOSEPH, J.

- -

WP.(C) No.3913 OF 2007

- -

Dated this the 5th day of February, 2007

JUDGMENT

Petitioner challenges Ext.P1 to a certain extent. So also Ext.P3 is challenged. Ext.P3 is the order issued by the Deputy Director of Education in the appeal filed by the Manager. Ext.P3 is challenged, no doubt, for the reason that it is a non-speaking order among other grounds. But I feel that the petitioner should be relegated to the pursuit of the alternate remedy.

Heard Government Pleader also.

The writ petition is disposed of directing that if the petitioner files a revision under Rule 12E(3) of Chapter XXIII of KER, the Director of Public Instruction will consider the same and take a decision on the same in accordance with law after hearing the petitioner and other affected parties within a period of two months from the date of receipt of the revision. I leave open the contentions of the petitioner.

(K.M. JOSEPH, JUDGE)

sb

K.M.JOSEPH, JJ.

- - - - - - - - -- -

W.A. No. OF

- -- - - - - - - - - - - - - - -

JUDGMENT

Dated this the day of February, 2006.